

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/845,900 04/30/2001		/30/2001	Jamie A. Greenwald	6208-10 3708			
27383	7590	04/07/2006		EXAMINER			
CLIFFORD			HAVAN, T	HAVAN, THU THAO			
31 WEST 52ND STREET NEW YORK, NY 10019-6131				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				3624	3624		

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary			Application N	0.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
			09/845,900		GREENWALD, JAMIE A.				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Thu Thao Hava		3624				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	ication appe	ears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Mansions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DA of 37 CFR 1.136 nunication. atutory period wi will, by statute, of	ATE OF THIS (6(a). In no event, ho ill apply and will expi cause the application	COMMUNICATION owever, may a reply be tirr re SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONE	N. hely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>30 Ap</i>	ril 2001.						
·			action is non-f	inal.					
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-72</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) 1-72 is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or	election requi	rement.					
	on Papers		·						
	The specification is objected to by the	e Evaminer							
•	The drawing(s) filed on <u>4/30/01</u> is/are			objected to by the	Evaminer				
10)63	Applicant may not request that any object								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including			•	• •	ED 1 121/d\			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to		•			• •			
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	by the Exe	2111111C1: 140tC ti	ic attached Office	Action of formit	10-102.			
	•			.=					
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority of								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	-	-		d in this National	Stage			
* 0	application from the Internation		•	` ''	_				
3	ee the attached detailed Office action	n for a list o	or the certified	copies not receive	α.				
Attachmen	· ·			_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4)	Interview Summary		•			
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F		5) [Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa		O-152)			
	No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/845,900

Art Unit: 3624

Detailed Action

Drawings

The Examiner accepts the drawings filed on April 30, 2001.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims **1-72** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hausman (US 2002/0178104) in view of Feilbogen et al. (US 2002/0016762).

Re claims 1, 29, 48, and 69, Hausman teaches a system for facilitating a trade in a non-listed security (para.0002-0003; Hausman discloses electronic trading of interests using reserves and a price change feature. A portion of a total desired trade quantity may be held in reserve, and thereby not disclosed in the relevant market. The reserves trades corresponds to a non-listed security since the trades are reserved and not listed on the current market), comprising:

a past trades database for storing trade information regarding past trades executed through the system (para. 0004, 0040, 0048, and 0055-0061; Hausman discloses past trades as prior trades. The order or quotation price for the reserve quantity is changed fully or partially automatically from the price of a prior order or quotation);

Art Unit: 3624

a pricing engine for providing price quotes in the non-listed security, said pricing engine in communication with said past trades database, said pricing engine receiving as input financial information (para. 0007 and 0040; Hausman discloses a price change feature in electronic trading over a network or networks of many types of interests involving reserves);

wherein when a client requests a price quote for the non-listed security, said pricing engine provides said price quote based on said past trades in said past trades database and said financial information (para. 0009 and 0040; Hausman discloses the order may be stored within a database system to which other traders have authorized access, so that such other traders may review the order by accessing the database);

an automatic market making engine for providing price quotes in the non-listed security to a client, said automatic market making engine being in communication with said past trades database and receiving financial information as input, said automatic market making engine continuously updating said price quotes based on changes to said financial information (para. 0040-0043; figs. 1-3);

client requests a trade in said non-listed security based on said price provided by said automatic market making engine, said trade is stored in said past trades database (para.0056-0062 and 0036; figs. 3-4).

However, Hausman does not explicitly teach a hedging module for performing hedging transactions. On the other hand, Feilbogen discloses a hedging module for performing hedging transactions (para. 0044; fig. 2 (element 15)). Feilbogen discloses the hedger module transfers public price data streams to update the current foreign price of the

goods. He also discloses individual transaction hedging is simply hedging on a transaction-per-transaction basis. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to enable an electronic trading system to include a hedging module for performing hedging transactions to update the current foreign price of the goods as discloses in Feilbogen.

Re claims **2** and **56**, Hausman teaches a price log for storing said price quotes in the non-listed security, wherein said pricing engine provides said price quote based on said past quotes stored in said price log (<u>abstract; figs. 1-3</u>). Hausman discloses prior price quotes that are stored in a database.

Re claims **3** and **65-66**, Hausman teaches financial information includes interest rate information, dividend information relating to said non-listed security, tax credit information and borrowing cost information (para. 0058, 0053, and 0062).

Re claims **4** and **62-64**, Hausman teaches pricing engine receives surface volatility information and said pricing engine provides said price quote based on said surface volatility (figs. 7-8 and 10).

Re claims **5** and **59**, Hausman teaches pricing engine receives pricing constraints and wherein said price quote provided by said pricing engine is based on said constraints (<u>para.</u> 0043-0044).

Re claims **6** and **49-53**, Hausman teaches pricing engine continuously updates said price quotes (<u>para. 0063, 0068, and 0121</u>). Hausman reports all order and trade updates.

Re claims **7-8** and **30-32**, Feilbogen teaches a check ability to trade (CATT) module wherein when said client desires to transact in the non-listed security, said CATT module determines the client's ability to trade (para. 0044; fig. 2).

Art Unit: 3624

Re claims **9** and **61**, Hausman teaches client issues a request to trade said non-listed security based on said price quote (figs. 11-13).

Re claims **10, 14-15, 33-34,** and **54-55**, Hausman teaches client issues said request to trade electronically (<u>para. 0002</u>). Hausman discloses electronic trading system.

Re claims **11**, **35-36**, **57**, and **71**, Feilbogen teaches a hedging module for performing hedging transactions, wherein when said client requests a trade in the non-listed security, said hedging module executes a hedging transaction for hedging said trade (<u>fig. 2</u>).

Re claims **12**, **16-18**, **20-27**, **39-46** and **70**, Hausman teaches information regarding said trade is stored in said past trades database (para. 0040, 0048, and 0055-0061).

Hausman discloses prior orders are accessed in the database.

Re claims **13**, **58**, **60**, and **67**, Hausman teaches a trade confirmation generator in communication with said past trades database, wherein when said trade is stored in said past trades database, said trade confirmation generator generates a trade confirmation based on said trade information and said trade confirmation is provided to said client (<u>fig. 2</u> (<u>element</u> <u>30</u>) and <u>figs. 3-4</u>).

Re claims **19** and **37-38**, Feilbogen teaches a collateral management module, said collateral management module in communication with said past trades database for determining the client's collateral requirements (<u>para. 0044</u>).

Re claims **28**, **47**, **68**, and **72**, Hausman teaches at least one of said price quotes includes a bid price and an offer price (<u>para. 0002</u>). Hausman teaches pricing of orders and quotations based on bids and offers.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

McCarthy et al., US 2002/0161690

McQuan, US 2003/0055775

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu Thao Havan whose telephone number is (571) 272-8111. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (571) 272-6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct-uspto.gov/. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

TTH 3/30/2006

> HANI M. KAZIMI PRIMARY EXAMINER